

# Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009



### Jerold Bishop, GDSI

### Rob Jameson, Malcolm Drilling Company

### Bret DeBernardi, GDSI

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009



### SPACE..... the final frontier....





Space and time are merging together to become THE continuum for geo-structures designers.

Today our work is driven by intense competition for Less COST Less SPACE Less TIME

More and more we are pushed to take soil...which is a blunt instrument...



### .... and use it as a scalpel.

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009



# One formula for space and time efficient excavation...

#### A (generally) efficient wall design – Soil Nailing

### +

#### Easily tailored, vertical improvement elements - Micropiles

### = A Composite Wall Design



### Composite Structure:

# A structure in which all of the elements function together, including steel, concrete <u>and</u> soil.

### Designed to solve specific problems.

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropile ISM 2009



# **Typical Problems:**

- Limited Access (build within centimeters or even beneath existing walls)
- Load Support (directly on shoring walls)
- Accessibility for Heavy Construction Equipment
- Headroom Limitations
- Face Stability
- Groundwater Control
- etc.....ad nauseam .... ad infinitum



...used where we do not have the ability to build traditional soldier pile, secant pile, steel sheet pile or slurry wall systems.





# ...for the purposes of this discussion, micropiles refer to center reinforced micropiles, not cased.





Micro-piles can stiffen the face

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropile: ISM 2009



Micro-piles can stiffen the face

 Stiffness can be varied somewhat to meet project requirements by using different pile diameters, spacing and number of rows



- Micro-piles can stiffen the face
- Stiffness can be varied somewhat to meet project requirements by different pile diameters, spacing and number of rows
- Stabilize loose materials for easy excavation



Micro-piles can stiffen the face

- Stiffness can be varied somewhat to meet project requirements by different pile diameters, spacing and number of rows
- Stabilize loose materials for easy excavation
- Create a simple curtain wall



- Micro-piles can stiffen the face
- Stiffness can be varied somewhat to meet project requirements by different pile diameters, spacing and number of rows
- Stabilize of loose materials for easy excavation
- Create a simple curtain wall
- Provide direct support for columns and walls on top

#### A simple example:

Problem: Stabilize talus face to create a vertical soil nail wall

- Micropiles added to create grout columns and control face stability.
- No drilled piers or driven piles to achieve vertical face.



GEOTECHNIC



# A simple way to look at a stiffness design using multiple rows of micropiles.....





### Stiffness Estimate



$$Sx = \frac{b(d2^{3} - d1^{3})}{6(d2)}$$

... A simplified and conservative estimate, to **approximate** the solution

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009



 Micropiles reinforce soil just as rebar reinforces concrete.

> Support of an Excavation Face with Micropile: ISM 2009



- Micropiles reinforce soil just as rebar reinforces concrete.
- Stiffness roughly estimated by section modulus, Sx, created by two elements a distance 'd' apart....caused by arching between elements.



- Micropiles reinforce soil just as rebar reinforces concrete.
- Stiffness roughly estimated by section modulus, Sx, created by two elements a distance 'd' apart....caused by arching between elements.
- Stiffness increased by placing pairs closer or adding rows to solve specific problems.



- Micropiles reinforce soil just as rebar reinforces concrete.
- Stiffness roughly estimated by section modulus, Sx, created by two elements a distance 'd' apart....caused by arching between elements.
- Stiffness increased by placing pairs closer or adding rows to solve specific problems.
- Soil nail spacing designed to reduce stiffness requirements at the face.



### However:

- This a not precise calculation (arching factors, development length, material variations, construction imprecision, etc. etc. etc.)
- Other proven methods (Goldnail, SnailWin, SlopeW, etc.) needed to compare and complete the sizing of elements.



### **Case Histories**

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009



- Old foundations carried 335 kPa
- Distance between micropiles in "A" frame varied to provide req'd Sx with depth
- Sx minimized by tieback spacing (shorter cuts used one tieback and walls with no foundation used just "A" frame).





 Individual "A" frame grout bulb being measured  Single anchor and grout bulb removed for evaluation



 Row of "A" frames along the side of the existing footing

#### Excavation completed





#### Support of an Excavation Face with Micropile ISM 2009



Problems:

- Access widen existing elevator pit in basement.
- Control existing groundwater levels





Problem:

- New Basement Construction to a depth of 3 to 5 meters below original grade.
- Carry existing and future walls.
- Access Required to do all work WITHOUT affecting existing historical structure.



 Support existing east wall to eliminate temporary support for superstructure, and construction of new wall.





 Load bearing/ wall stiffness provided by soil nails and micropiles.

 Face Stiffness varied as req'd





 North end of east wall shown with micro-piles supporting wall (both sides) and soil nails beneath.





 New basement from main floor, looking south at excavation.
Micropile/soil nail supported wall in foreground. Micropile supported column in center.





 Looking south through new basement walls, column and exterior walls (location of maximum cut).

 NO adverse effects on existing building.





GDSI has designed several composite walls since 2004. Each one progressively has introduced:

Increased Complexity

**Greater Size** 

**Higher Load-Carrying Requirements** 

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009

GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN SYSTEMS INC.

MDCI and GDSI recently completed a Design-Build of our most ambitious wall to date:

13 meter cut (42 feet) Supports a six-story settlement-sensitive structure Excavated through layered silt and sand Groundwater present throughout lower half Against the uphill side of the groundwater flow Only 60 cm (2 feet) allowed for shoring wall construction Face of Shoring to be finished face for single form construction .....and ultimately.....

The bottom third of the wall had to support full hydrostatic head









May 13, 2009

ISM 2009

38







#### Wall at the beginning of construction – 3 meters down





#### Wall down to 6.2 meters, showing micropiles, wells and seepage





### Facing Elements and Soil Layering





### Seepage through shotcrete





### **Completion of Supplement Dewatering**





### **Near Completion**



Support of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009

May 13, 2009

#### Performance



th Micropiles

oort of an Excavation Face with Micropiles ISM 2009 GEOTECHNICAL

DESIGN SYSTEMS INC.

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Future Needs...

Data to Quantify Performance
Modeling to Predict Performance

 Ultimately a much more Rational Method for Composite Structure Design

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Thank You

Support of an Excavation Face with Micropile ISM 2009