Large-scale Physical Model Tests of Micropile Stabilized Slopes

J. Erik Loehr and Andrew Boeckmann University of Missouri – Columbia John J. Deeken Black and Veatch



Stabilization Technique





Motivation

- How is force developed within reinforcing members?
- What is the interaction between the soil and the reinforcing members?
- How does geometric arrangement affect load transfer and limit loads?
- What group or network effects exist?



Research Methods



Modeling Device





Model Container





Model Soil



Pore Pressure Control System





Instrumentation: Pore Pressure



Instrumentation: Displacement



Reinforcement & Similitude

Can't scale stresses, but can scale reinforcement stiffness appropriately:





Instrumentation: Strain Gages



Construction



Construction: Model Micropiles





Construction: Model Micropiles



As-constructed







Testing









Failure!



Time Lapse Movie Clip





Model Performance – pore pressures



Model Behavior - deformations











Forensics





Interpretation of Results





Moment Distribution



Load Transfer





Completed Testing

- Unreinforced models
- Single Line, Perpendicular to Slope
 - s/d from 8 to 30
 - "rigid" and scaled members
- Single Line, A-Frame
 - s/d from 4 to 8
 - No cap beam





Future Testing

- A-frame arrangement with capping beam
- Reticulated micropile

Larger scale device



Observations

- Tests performed for unreinforced slopes indicate modeling errors are small
- Model micropiles reasonably representative of field scale micropiles
 - Mobilization of resistance is roughly linear
- Capping beam necessary for conditions tested to date



Acknowledgements

 Funding provided by U.S. National Science Foundation, Grant CMS0093164



