Micropile Bearing Plates:

Are they Necessary?

Presented by: Nadir Ansari, P.Eng.





The Art Gallery of Ontario





Post-Transformation – Frank Gehry







Royal Ontario Museum







Post-Transformation - Daniel Libeskind







Outline

Reasons for Test
Test set up
Instrumentation
Our Findings





Reasons for Test and Analysis Program

- Cost
- Time/ Schedule impact
- Difficult to ensure plate to grout contact
- Plates impede concrete placement beneath
- Plates provide smooth interface where cracks may propagate
- Tests by the Ohio DOT in 1947, suggest large plates may weaken pile caps





Test Set Up



Test Set up







Test Set Up

Concrete Strain Gauge

Rebar with Strain Gauges

Compressible Material







Test Set Up



30 MPa Concrete



Peter Sheffield & Associates Ltd.



Cylinders



Cyclic Loading for One Micropile



-8050 kN ultimate load on cap
-50 mm movement
-136 MPa based on MP area
Peter Sheffield & Associates Ltd.

-2.33 Design Load



Results - Actual Cracking Pattern









Actual Cracking Pattern





Column Base Plate



Cracking Pattern in one quarter of the cap





Strut and Tie Model Used to Determine Required Reinforcement







Vertical Stress







Vertical Stress







Horizontal Stress in Reinforcement Plane









Results-Rebar Stress



Our Findings

-Bearing plates were not necessary

-Based on area the bearing strength was 4.5 times the concrete compressive strength much higher than allowed by codes

-Class A analysis predicted failure within 5%

-The concrete strut was parabolic, not linear





Findings Continued

-Longitudinal rebar was in Compression in the middle of the pile cap

-Rebar can be spaced evenly across pile cap

-Further research for other geometries is necessary





Ontario College of Art and Design





